8-3-5

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

540,119.

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		- Control of the cont					
02GA0456WOP	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. International filin PCT/EP2003/014319 16 December		date (day/month/year) 2003 (16.12.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 December 2002 (18.12.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G11B 5/60							
Applicant							
GATZEN, Hans-Heinrich							
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	6 shee	ts, including this cover sh	eet				
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprisi	ng:					
a. $igg ext{(sent to the applicant and t)}$	to the International I	Bureau) a total of 8	sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications relating	ng to the following it	ems:					
Box No. I Basis of the repo	ort						
Box No. II Priority			Í				
Box No. III Non-establishme	ent of opinion with re	egard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box No. VI Certain documen		, sassa statement	ĺ				
Box No. VII Certain defects in	n the international ar	plication					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report				
30 June 2004 (30.06.2004)		18 March 2005 (18.03.2005)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer					
acsimile No.		Telephone No.					

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/014319

Box I	0. I	Basis of the report						
1. Wit	h regard	I to the language, this report is based on the international applindicated under this item.	cation in the language in which it was filed, unless					
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:							
l	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))							
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)							
1	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)							
		(. 55.5)					
	are not	I to the elements of the international application, this repo the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article annexed to this report): atternational application as originally filed/furnished	t is based on (replacement sheets which have been a 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed"					
		scription:						
الحا	pages	•						
	pages	1-29 received by this A	, as originally filed/furnished					
	pages'							
	the cla	·						
		mis.						
	pages*		, as originally filed/furnished					
	pages*	, , , a	s amended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
	pages*	received by this A						
K-21		received by this A	uthority on 17 February 2005 (17.02.2005)					
\boxtimes	the dra	wings:						
	pages	1/3-3/3	, as originally filed/furnished					
	pages*	received by this A	uthority on .					
	pages*	received by this A	uthority on					
	a seque	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box	Palating to Common Link					
		() say sappronum Don	relating to sequence Listing.					
2 [The om	ondersate have to the state of the						
٦. ـــــا		endments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
	<u> </u>	ne description, pages						
	L t	ne claims, Nos.	_					
	L ti	ne drawings, sheets/figs						
	tl	ne sequence listing (specify):						
	a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
	(Rule 76	oort has been established as if (some of) the amendments ann ince they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure 0.2(c)). e description, pages e claims, Nos e drawings, sheets/figs	as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box					
	L th	e sequence listing (specify):						
	an	y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
If item	If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."							
	The state of all of those sneets may be marked "superseded."							
DO		100						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/EP 03/14319

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	27-38	YES
		Claims	1-26	NO NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-39	NO NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-39	YES
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-1 058 238 (SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD),

6 December 2000 (2000-12-06)

D2: US 2002/096944 A1 (BOUTAGHOU ZINE-EDDINE et al.),

25 July 2002 (2002-07-25)

Document D3 is not cited in the International Search Report. A copy is appended to the present report.

D3: US-2002/181140 A1 (SUBRAHRAMYAN PRADEEP K.), 5 December 2002 (2002-12-05)

Lack of novelty

1.1 The application fails to meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- a read/write head with a first block, and
- a support connected to the first block with a read/write element, the support being movably spring-mounted on the

International application No. PCT/EP 03/14319

block by means of at least one leaf spring, and

 an electromagnetic actuator device with an electromagnetic element for generating magnetic forces which are transmitted to the support.

(See, for example, figures 2 and 8.)

1.2 Dependent claims 2 to 21 do not contain any features that meet the PCT requirements in respect of novelty and inventive step when combined with the features of any of the back-referenced claims (see documents D1 and D2 and the passages cited in the search report).

The features of claims 2 to 21 are just some of a number of obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances without making an inventive contribution in order to solve the problem of interest.

1.3 The application fails to meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 22 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

Document D2 discloses the following (the references in parentheses are to D2):

- a method for controlling a read/write head as defined in point 1.1 above
- characterised in that the tracking of the read/write element is readjusted by at least one electromagnetic actuator device for the read/write head.

(See the whole document.)

1.4 Dependent claims 23 to 26 do not contain any features that meet the PCT requirements in respect of novelty and inventive step when combined with the features of any of the

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14319

back-referenced claims (see documents D1, D2 and D3 and the passages cited in the search report).

The features of claims 23 to 26 are just some of a number of obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances without making an inventive contribution in order to solve the problem of interest.

2. Lack of inventive step

2.1 The application fails to meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(1) because the claimed subject matter does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

A person skilled in the art would regard it as a routine procedure to combine all the features specified in claim 27.

Dependent claims 28 to 38 do not contain any features that meet the PCT requirements in respect of novelty and inventive step when combined with the features of any of the back-referenced claims, because the features of claims 28 to 38 are just some of a number of obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances without making an inventive contribution in order to solve the problem of interest.